

ORDINANCE NO. 1616

AN ORDINANCE relating to the Capital Improvement Program for King County, amending Section 2 (c) (2) of Ordinance 1359, and Ordinance 620 as amended, and amending King County Code 4.04.030; and prescribing a systematic process for improving streets and highway programming.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance 3159 Section 2 (c) (2) and Ordinance 620 as amended and King County Code 4.04.030 are hereby amended to read:

SECTION 2. Section 3.B.3. of Ordinance 620 and King County Code 4.04.030 (c) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) Supporting Data. (1) Justification for revenues and expenditures shall be presented in detail when necessary to explain changes of established practices, unique fiscal practices and new sources of revenue or expenditure patterns, or any data the County Executive deems useful to support the budget. The following are included:

(A) Inclusion of non-budgeted departments and programs expenditures and revenues, i.e., Intragovernmental Service Funds.

(B) Inclusion of agency workload information effective for the 1972 budget document and thereafter.

(C) Inclusion of brief explanation of programs, purpose and scope of agency activities for the 1972 budget document and thereafter. (2) Capital improvement program data for the 1973 budget document and thereafter shall include:

(A) For each project over \$100,000, a social impact statement from the ((Department-of-Planning)) Department of Budget and Program Planning. This statement shall identify the discrete population to be served, and the nature and extent of the service to be rendered. The statement shall also include the social and economic effects upon the larger community (all of King County) and the project's implications for the entire program element, both the operating program and the capital program.

1 (B) For road projects the supporting data recommended by
2 the 1971 King County Auditor's Report on Roads, or reasonable
3 facsimile thereof shall be included.

4 (C) For road projects the Streets and Highway Programming
5 Process shall be the basis from which capital improvement projects
6 for road expenditures is determined. The objectives of the
7 process will be to promote public confidence in a flexible high-
8 way transportation program that insures early community
9 participation and provides assurance to the Executive and Council
10 that projects are mutually compatible and consistent with the
11 King County Comprehensive Plan and Transportation Element.

12 (a) The Streets and Highway Programming Process shall
13 specify priorities, guide route establishments, select route
14 design criteria and provide detailed design information for each
15 arterial.

16 Framework:

17 (b) The Departments of Public Works and Transportation
18 and Budget and Program Planning shall annually review and forecast
19 potential projects and categorize them according to priority as
20 follows:

- 21 1. A fourth order project will be deferrable, but with
22 a general indication of desirability and need
23 testing for greater priority.
- 24 2. A third order project has increased priority and
25 initiates citizen involvement on a periodic basis
26 throughout route location, establishment, design
27 and additional need testing.
- 28 3. A second order project will initiate preliminary
29 engineering and design and continue citizen involve-
30 ment and priority testing.

4. A first order project shall meet all priority tests and community review and complete preliminary engineering and design, such that it serves as the basis for the capital construction budget.

(c) First, Second and Third order projects shall constitute the basis for the six-year capital improvement program, as required by the King County Charter, Section 430.

(d) The Capital Construction Budget will reflect the appropriation level by project for final design and construction, including right-of-way acquisition.

(D) For projects where a determination of environmental significance has been made pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act of 1971, an environmental impact statement or declaration of no significant impact by the responsible official. In order for a determination of environmental significance to be made, the proposal should be at a sufficient stage of contemplation or planning that its principal features can be reliably identified in terms of alternative locations, size, quantities of natural resources involved, changes in land use, and general areas of the community and population that may be affected. In those cases where an environmental impact statement is prepared, such statement shall include the information required in the social impact statement and a separate social impact statement is not required.

(3) Inclusion of other data which the County Executive deems necessary which may include objects of expenditure and other expenditures categories.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 12th day of March, 1973.

PASSED this 23d day of April, 1973.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

John T. O'Brien
Chairman

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Quinn
Deputy Administrator-Clerk
King County Council

APPROVED this 23d day of April, 1973.

John D. Spelman
King County Executive